

Annexure –I

Consolidate Report under **Section 25 (3)** of the Right to Information Act 2005 in respect of the Department of Atomic Energy and its Units/PSUs/ aided Institutions for the quarter ended **30.09.2007**

<u>SNo.</u>	<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
a.	Number of requests received by each authority	<b>4 (Four)</b>
b.	Number of decisions where application were entitled to access the documents pursuant to the request, the provisions of the Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	<b>There was no request for access to the documents</b>
c.	The number of appeals referred to Central Information Commission for review, the nature of appeals and the outcome of appeals.	<b>One appeal was referred to Central Information Commission for review.</b> <b>The applicant Ms. Suchitra J.Y. appealed for the disclosure of information related to fuel cycle cost of Prototype Fast Breeder reactor under construction.</b> <b>Appellate Authority of IGCAR &amp; BHAVINI informed that cost pertaining to fuel cycle are sensitive in nature and hence cannot be disclosed ( exempted vide clause 8(1) (a) of the RTI Act. The appeal was heard through video conference on 03.08.2007.</b> <b>Chief Information Commissioner held that even though the issue is in the public interest, the disclosure of this information can have unforeseen ramifications because of the sensitivity in the nature of the project on which the information is sought. Hence the appeal was dismissed and was announced in the open chamber on 10.09.2007.</b>

<b>d.</b>	Details of disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of administration of this Act.	<b>No case of disciplinary action taken against any Officer</b>
<b>e.</b>	Amount of charge collected by each public authority under this Act.	<b>-Nil -</b>
<b>f.</b>	The details to indicate efforts made by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	<b>Websites are expanded to contain wealth of information. PIO has taken part in work shops conducted to learn the spirit behind this Act.</b>
<b>g.</b>	Suitable suggestions for reform including those required for development, improvement, modernization, reform for the amendment of the Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalisation the right to access the information.	<b>As far as IGCAR is concerned most of the information required by Public has been put on the web which is dynamic.</b>

**Annexur-II**

**QUARTERLY REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF RIGHT TO INFORMATION  
ACT FROM 01.07.2007 TO 30.09.2007**

**Name of the Unit : Indira Gandhi Centre for Atomic Research (IGCAR)**

Sl. No.	Party	Subject	Amount received	Action taken	Remarks
1	Shri Swagata Mukherjee , Mumbai	Information sought regarding bonds obtained from all the officers of IGCAR who were deputed for LHC experiment under DAE-CERN collaboration. Query received through APIO, BARC on 13.08.2007.	---	Fax sent to Shri S.M. Sironmani, DEO, BARC & APIO giving the information sought for by Shri Swagata Mukherjee.	Reply sent on 14.08.2007 .
2	Shri Abhijit Das , New Delhi	Information sought regarding expenditure involved by Officials accompanying the Ministers in foreign tours. Query received through DAE on 24.09.2007.	---	' Nil" report given for query nos. 4, 5, 7 & 8 pertaining to IGCAR.	Letter sent to PIO, DAE on 25.09.2007.
3.	Shri Swagata Mukherjee , Mumbai	Information sought regarding the details of Officers who have been sent on deputation abroad. The query was sent by DAE to IGCAR on 20.08.2007.	---	Reply sent by fax to PIO, DAE furnishing the requisite information.	Reply sent by fax on 04.09.07
4.	Smt. Seema Chisti, Mumbai	Information sought regarding Scientists who have left DAE before retirement since 1996.  Query received through DAE on 28.09.2007	---	Reply sent to PIO, DAE furnishing the list of Scientific Officers who left DAE before retirement since 1996.	Reply sent by fax on 04.10.07